

REMARKS

Claims 1-17 are pending. Reconsideration and allowance of the present application based on the following remarks are respectfully requested.

Entry of this Amendment is respectfully requested since no new issues raised by the Amendment and it place the application in condition for allowance or at least in better form for appeal.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 6 and 7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Huang (U.S. Patent No. 6,352,342); and claims 1-5 and 8-17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Huang in view of Ku (U.S. Patent No. 6,089,708). Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections.

Claim 1 recites, in part, an eyeglasses assembly that includes a connection bar mounted detachably on upper portions of the main lens rims and auxiliary eyeglasses including an adjacent pair of auxiliary lens rims with auxiliary lenses.

Although the Office Action, on page 4, alleges that Huang discloses a connection bar 126 mounted to upper portions of the main lens rims 143 by members 1266 and 1442, Applicants respectfully disagree. Both Huang and Ku teach a connection that is located at a nose piece (See, for example, the figures in both Huang and Ku). Neither reference teaches or suggests that the connection bar is detachably mounted to upper portions of the main frames. Specifically, in Huang, the portion 126 is actually attached to the sides of the lens rim, not the upper portions as recited in claim 1. See, for example, Figure 3. As described by the present invention, by attaching the connection bar to the upper portion of the main frame, the connection is more stable and more reliable than the connection achieved by either the Huang or the Ku references.

Additionally, neither Huang or Ku teach or suggest using auxiliary eyeglasses including an adjacent pair of auxiliary lens rims with auxiliary lenses. Specifically, both the Ku and Huang references disclose auxiliary eyeglasses without rims. Since neither reference teaches the use of auxiliary eyeglasses with rims, no combination of the references teaches or suggests such a feature.

Accordingly, no combination of Huang and Ku teach or suggest an eyeglasses assembly that includes a connection bar mounted detachably on upper portions of the main lens rims and

auxiliary eyeglasses including an adjacent pair of auxiliary lens rims with auxiliary lenses, as recited in claim 1.

Claims 6 and 13 are believed allowable for at least the reasons presented above with respect to claim 1 since claims 6 and 13 recites features that are similar to the features of claim 1 discussed above.

Claims 2-5, 7-12, and 14-17 are believed allowable for at least the same reasons presented above with respect to claims 1, 6, and 13 by virtue of their dependence upon claims 1, 6, and 13. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of these rejections.

Conclusion

Therefore, all objections and rejections having been addressed, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a condition for allowance and a Notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

Should any issues remain unresolved, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned attorney for Applicants at the telephone number indicated below in order to expeditiously resolve any remaining issues.

Respectfully submitted,

MAYER BROWN ROWE & MAW LLP

By: 

Yoon S. Ham
Registration No. 45,307
Direct No. (202) 263-3280

YSH/VVK

Intellectual Property Group
1909 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006-1101
(202) 263-3000 Telephone
(202) 263-3300 Facsimile

Date: January 17, 2006